

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

010

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/810,939 03/16/2001		Mary Capelli-Schellpfeffer	3066.1000-001	7242	
757	7590 06/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			GHALI, ISIS A D		
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			1615		
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2009	DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		09/8	10,939	CAPELLI-SCHELLPFEFFER, MARY				
		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
		Isis G		1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Anyr	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply very received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. of days, a reply within the tutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a e statutory minimum of thi and will expire SIX (6) MOI e application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>18 Februar</u> y	<u>/ 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠		b)⊠ This action						
3)□								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	6) Claim(s) 80,82,87,89,92,93,101-103 and 107-110 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted of accepted of accepted of accepted of accepted of the correction is re	g(s) be held in abeya equired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a)[ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action acknowledgment is made of a claim for the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the action of the foreign land acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign land acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign land of the foreign land of the foreign land of the first sent the certified copies of the priority of the foreign land of the foreign land of the foreign land of the first sent the certified copies of the priority o	documents have documents have of the priority document pureau (PCT of for a list of the or domestic priorical in the first senter domestic priorical domestic priorical domestic priorical domestic priorical domestic priorical domestic priorical documents.	been received. been received in A cuments have been Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not ity under 35 U.S.C. ence of the specific all application has b ity under 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National It received. It is 119(e) (to a provisional It cation or in an Application It is neen received. It is needed. It is ne	al application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachmen								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P <sup>*</sup> mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( Informal Patent Application (PTo				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The receipt is acknowledged of applicant's request for extension of time and amendment, both filed 02/18/2005.

Claims 81, 83-86, 88, 90, 91, 94-100, and 104-106 have been cancelled. Claims 109 and 110 have been added.

Claims 80, 82, 87, 89, 92, 93 101-103 and 107-110 are pending and included in the prosecution.

## Specification

- 1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 2. The use of the trademark "AVOGEL" has been noted in this application. It should be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Applicant has not responded to the above objections to the specification, therefore are maintained for reasons of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/810,939 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

The following new grounds of rejections are necessitated by applicant's

amendment:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claims 80, 82, 87, 89, 92, 93, 101-103, and 107-110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed does not disclose "reducing the size of a closed wound" as currently recited in the amended claims. The specification discloses improving the size and appearance of the scar, page 6, lines 9-10, and improving the size can be either reduction of the size of elevated areas of the scar and elevation of the depressed parts of the scar. Therefore, no disclosure for reducing the size of the scar.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 4

6. Claims 80, 89, 92, 109 and 110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are confusing because the generic claims 80 recites administrating to the closed wound (which can be by injection or topical), while the dependent claims 89, 92 and 109-110 recite the composition comprising thermal insulating materials consisting of gel, hydrogel or sponge that are used only for topical formulations and not for parenteral formulations. On page 15, lines 1-3 of the present specification applicant discloses that the thermal insulating materials are placed in contact with the skin, i.e. topical application to the skin and not other routes of administration as injection. Clarification is requested.

Claim 82 fails to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim 80 because claim 80 recites specific closed wounds caused by limited causes as indicated by the closed claim language "selected from group consisting of", and claim 82 is broadening the scope of claim 80 by adding more types of closed wounds such as those caused by surgery or reactive scar, etc.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. Claims 80 and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 08-268886 ('886).

JP '886 disclosed treatment and improvement of the skin diseases such as keloids by administering composition comprising aspirin or its salts in a carrier by

external application, orally or by injection (abstract; paragraphs 001, 0013, 0014, 0017, 0021).

#### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 02/18/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant traverse this rejection by arguing that the reference does not teach reducing the size or improving the appearance of closed wound by NSAID, instead the reference teaches improving skin diseases by using composition comprising sagitrel and aspirin, wherein aspirin used to prevent clotting and reduces fever in the subject.

In response to these arguments, the examiner position is that the reference disclosed clearly improving the keloid, i.e. scar, and applicant admits the equivalency between the scar and the closed wound, page 6, lines 18-20 of the present specification. The other functions of aspirin to reduce fever or to prevent clotting are disclosed along with improving keloid and those functions are inherent for aspirin either used by applicant or by the prior art. The expression comprising of the claim language permits the presence of other ingredient such as sagitrel, regardless if the other ingredient is active or inactive and even if present in major amounts. The disclosure of the reference reads on the instant claims.

9. Claims 80, 82, 87, 93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,521,271 ('271) with the effective filing date of August 16, 1999.

US '271 disclosed method of improving skin conditions such as scar by topical, oral or parenteral administration of a composition comprising 1-20% of salicylic acid and a carrier; and another antimicrobial agent or anti-inflammatory agent (abstract; col.3, lines 13-15, 35-37, 39-40; col.7, lines 15-23, 40; col.8, lines 19-21, 26, 30, 38-50).

### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 02/18/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant traverses the above rejection by arguing that the reference does not teach reducing the size or improving the appearance of closed wound by NSAID, instead teaches the salicylic acid as exfoliant.

In response to these arguments, the examiner position is that the reference clearly disclosed composition comprising salicylic acid treats scar, and applicant admits the equivalency between the scar and the closed wound, page 6, lines 18-20 of the present specification. The other functions of salicylic acid as enhancer is disclosed along with treating scar and this functions is inherent for aspirin either used by applicant or by the prior art. The expression comprising of the claim language permits the presence of other ingredient, active or inactive even in major amounts. The disclosure of the reference reads on the instant claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. Claims 103,107, and 108 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 27 07 537 ('537).

DE '537 teaches formulations for treatment of keloids comprising salicylic acid in an amount of 1-3%. The formulation comprises gel and a substance that relieve skin irritation.

The reference however does not teach the hydrogel as the thermal insulating material, the amount of the non steroidals or the specific other active agent in the composition.

The art recognized the use of gel and anti-irritants in the composition comprising COX that is used to treat keloids, thus, the specific hydrogel material and the specific anti-irritants used by present invention do not impart patentability to the claims, absent evidence to the contrary. The amounts used by the present invention do not impart patentability to the claims, absent evidence to the contrary.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the composition disclosed by DE '537 and select the specific thermal insulating materials and anti-irritants according to specific patient's need, and adjust the amounts according to the size and thickness of the scar, with reasonable expectation of having a composition comprising non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent, anti-irritant, and a hydrogel that treat keloids with success.

# Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 02/18/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant traverses the above rejection by arguing that the reference does not teach reducing the size or improving the appearance of closed wound by NSAID, instead treating acne that is infected and needs drainage.

In response to the above applicant's argument, the examiner position is the rejected claims are directed to composition, and all the elements of the composition are disclosed by the reference, and the intended use of the composition does not impart patentability to composition claims. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

13. Claims 87, 89, 92, 93 101-103 and 107-110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '886 in view of US 5,552,162 ('162).

The teachings of JP '886 are discussed above. JP '886 does not teach the thermal insulating material, the amount of the non-steroidals or the other active agents in the composition.

US '162 teaches a method for improving the size and appearance of the scar associated with keloid or hypertrophic wound healing disorder by covering the scar with thermal insulating material and active agent (abstract). The thermal insulating materials includes hydrogel and gel (col.9, lines 10-12, 27). The thermal insulating material elevates the surface temperature of the scar and consequently stimulates the collagenase activity and improves the size and the appearance of the scar (col.6, lines 1-32).

Application/Control Number: 09/810,939

Art Unit: 1615

The specific other active ingredients used by present invention do not impart patentability to the claims, absent evidence to the contrary. The amounts used by the present invention are within skill in the art to determine, absent evidence to the contrary.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the method for improving the keloid by administering a composition comprising aspirin as disclosed by JP '886, and add thermal insulating hydrogel disclosed by US '162, motivated by the teaching of US '162 that the thermal insulating material elevates the surface temperature of the scar and consequently stimulates the collagenase activity and improves the size and the appearance of the scar as desired by the applicant, with reasonable expectation of having a composition comprising the aspirin and hydrogel that improves the size and the appearance of the scar of the closed wound with success.

14. Claims 89, 92, 93 101-103 and 107-110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US '271 in view of US '162.

The teachings of the references are discussed above. US '271 does not teach the hydrogel as the thermal insulating material, or the specific other active agent in the composition.

The specific other actives used by present invention do not impart patentability to the claims and it is within the skill in the art to add any other additives or actives as needed, absent evidence to the contrary.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the method for improving the scar by administering a composition comprising non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent as disclosed by US '271, and add the thermal insulating hydrogel disclosed by US '162, motivated by the teaching of US '162 that the thermal insulating material elevates the surface temperature of the scar and consequently stimulates the collagenase activity and improves the size and the appearance of the scar as desired by the applicant, with reasonable expectation of having a composition comprising the aspirin and hydrogel that improves the size and the appearance of the scar of the closed wound with success.

#### Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments filed 12/18/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant traverses the obviousness rejection of the claims over JP '886 and US '271 in view of US '162 by arguing that US 1'62 neither discloses nor suggests using aspirin to achieve improvement in the size or appearance of a closed wound.

In response to this argument the examiner position is US '162 is relied upon for the solely teaching of thermal insulating material combined with medicaments to reduce the size of the scar, as desired by applicants. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references.

See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800

F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In considering the disclosure of the reference, it is proper to take into account not only the specific teachings of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom. *In re Preda*, 401 F.2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342, 344 (CCPA 1968). The rational to modify or to combine the prior art does not have to be expressly stated in the prior art; the rational may be expressly or impliedly contained in the prior art or it may be reasoned from knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. The reason or motivation to modify the reference may often suggest what the inventor has done, but for a different purpose or to solve different problem. It is not necessary that the prior art suggest the combination or modification to achieve the same advantage or result discovered by applicant. *In re Linter*, 458 F.2d 1013, 173 USPQ 560 (CCPA 1972).

#### **Conclusion**

16. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 12

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isis Ghali whose telephone number is (571) 272-0595. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isis Ghali Examiner Art Unit 1615

IG